1 2 3	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CSBN 44332) United States Attorney  BRIAN STRETCH (CSBN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division	
4 5 6 7 8 9	DENISE MARIE BARTON (MABN 634052) Assistant United States Attorney  450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7359 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 denise.barton@usdoj.gov  Attorneys for Plaintiff  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
13		
14 15 16 17 18 19	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  Plaintiff,  V.  REMSEN BENEDICT,  Defendant.  CR No. 08-0025 WHA  STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER 18 U.S.C. § 3161	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	On February 5, 2008, the parties in this case appeared before the Court and stipulated that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from February 5, 2008 through March 18, 2008. The parties represented that granting the continuance was necessary for effective preparation and continuity of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, //	

1	to afford defense counsel time to review discovery and in light of upcoming knee surgery and a		
2	medical leave of counsel for the government. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(iv).		
3			
4	SO STIPULATED:		
5			
6		JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO United States Attorney	
7		Officed States Attorney	
8	DATED: March 18, 2008	/s/ Denise Barton DENISE MARIE BARTON	
9		Assistant United States Attorney	
10			
11	DATED: March 18, 2008	GEORGE C. BOISSEAU	
12		GEORGE C. BOISSEAU GEOFFREY DUNHAM Attorney for REMSEN BENEDICT	
13		Audincy for REWISEN BENEDICT	
14			
15	As the Court found on February 5, 2008, and for the reasons stated above, the Court finds		
16	that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and		
17	the defendant in a speedy trial and that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act		
18	calculations from February 5, 2008 through March 18, 2008 for effective preparation and		
19	continuity of counsel. See 18 U.S.C. §3161 (h)(8)(A). The failure to grant the requested		
20	continuance would deny counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into		
21	account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. See 18 U.S.C		
22	§3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).		
23			
24	SO ORDERED.		
25			
26	DATED:	HON. WILLIAM H. ALSUP	
27	United States District Court Judge		
28			
	er .		